

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/814,148 04/01/2004		4/01/2004	Geun-Young Yeom	061887-0119	7343	
22428	7590	02/25/2005		EXAMINER		
FOLEY AN SUITE 500	D LARD	NER	BERMAN, JACK I			
3000 K STRI	EET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20007	2881			

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				M.A			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/814,148	YEOM ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jack I. Berman	2881				
The MAILIN Period for Reply	G DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ad	ldress			
THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS f - If the period for reply sp - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within th Any reply received by th earned patent term adju	TATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. rom the mailing date of this communication. ecified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay specified above, the maximum statutory period eless or extended period for reply will, by statute office later than three months after the mailing strent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered time ONTHS from the mailing date of this c ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status							
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed on <u>17 l</u>	<u>Vovember 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is	,	is action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in acc	cordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-1</u>	<u>0</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
`	ove claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
′ <u> </u>	and 8-10 is/are allowed.						
•	nd 7 is/are rejected.						
	is/are objected to.	'a- alastian requirement					
8)[Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers				,			
, ,	tion is objected to by the Examin			!			
	s) filed on <u>17 November 2004</u> is/			niner.			
	not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the corre			ED 1 121/d)			
-	eclaration is objected to by the E						
		Examinor: Note the attach.					
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119						
a)⊠ All b)⊡ 1.⊠ Certifi	nent is made of a claim for foreig Some * c) None of: ed copies of the priority documer ed copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
-	s of the certified copies of the pri			l Stage			
	ation from the International Bure						
• •	ned detailed Office action for a lis		ot received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References	Cited (PTO-802)	4) Tintangay	v Summary (PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperso	n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date				
 Information Disclosur Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06	3) 5) ∐ Notice of 6) ☐ Other: _	f Informal Patent Application (PT 	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/814,148

Art Unit: 2881

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ichimura et al. in view of Albridge, Jr. et al. for the reasons given in the previous Office action for the rejection of claim 1.

Applicant's arguments filed November 17, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Two arguments are presented: (1) Ichimura et al. fails to disclose insulation material between the three grids as claimed in claims 1 and 7; and (2) neither reference suggests that a neutralization cell that neutralizes ions by means of charge exchange reaction with a neutral gas is equivalent to neutralizing plates for neutralizing ions. Neither argument is persuasive. With respect to the first argument, the presence of some kind of insulation material is inherent in the Ichimura et al. apparatus because without such insulation material it would be physically impossible to maintain the three grids 3a, 3b, and 3c at the different potentials

Art Unit: 2881

required by the patent. If these grids were mounted in a common structure, as is disclosed in the patent, their potentials would equalize unless they were separated by such insulation material. The patent does not mention this material because it is standard practice in patent applications to refrain from mentioning features that a person having ordinary skill in the art would recognize as being inherently present. With respect to the second argument, Ichimura et al. teaches that a neutralization cell functions to neutralize an ion beam to form a neutral beam that is suitable for neutral beam etching (see paragraph [0053]). Albridge, Jr. et al. teaches that neutralizing plates function to neutralize an ion beam to form a neutral beam that is suitable for neutral beam etching (see lines 2-4 in column 6). Since it is therefore known in the art that both neutralization cells and neutralizing plates perform the same function, the substitution of one for the other constitutes a substitution of known equivalents that cannot patentably distinguish an invention.

Claims 2-6 and 8-10 are allowed for the reasons explained in the previous Office action with respect to the allowability of the subject matter of claims 2-6.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2881

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack I. Berman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2468. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-6:00) with every second Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2477. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Jack I. Berman Primary Examiner Art Unit 2881

jb 2/22/05